BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

HEARING #22-11977

FEBRUARY 16, 2022

2:35 P.M.

ND-2022-3-E:

SOUTH CAROLINA PUBLIC SERVICE AUTHORITY (SANTEE COOPER) — Allowable Ex Parte Briefing Regarding an Overview of Santee Cooper's 2020 Comprehensive Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), Plans for Its Integrated Resource Plan Update, and to Present a Proposed Procedural Schedule for Its Competitive Procurement of Renewable Energy Filing/Petition

ALLOWABLE EX PARTE BRIEFING

COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT: Florence P. Belser, Vice Chair, Presiding; and Commissioners Carolyn L. 'Carolee' Williams, Stephen M. 'Mike' Caston, Thomas J. 'Tom' Ervin $^{[A/V]}$, Headen B. Thomas $^{[A/V]}$, and Delton W. Powers, Jr.

ADVISOR TO COMMISSION: David W. Stark, III, Esq. STAFF COUNSEL

STAFF: Jocelyn Boyd, Chief Clerk/Executive Director; Jo Anne Wessinger Hill, General Counsel; F. David Butler, Special Counsel; Sharon Plyler Besley, Esq., and Sandra V. Moser, Esq., Legal Staff; Norman Scarborough, Ph.D., Technical Advisory Staff; Virginia Butler, Administrative Staff; Jackie Thomas, Information Technology Staff; Patricia Stephens and Virginia 'Ginger' Crocker, Clerk's Staff; Melissa Purvis, Livestream Technical Staff; and Jo Elizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM/M-GNSC, Court Reporter

APPEARANCES:

STEPHEN R. PELCHER, ESQUIRE, legal representative of/for SOUTH CAROLINA PUBLIC SERVICE AUTHORITY (SANTEE COOPER), together with PRESENTERS CHARLIE B. DUCKWORTH [Acting President/CEO], RAHUL DEMBLA [Sr. Director/Financial and Resource Planning], and B. SHAWAN GILLIANS [Director/Legal Services and Corporate Secretary]

NICOLE M. HAIR, ESQUIRE, Designee of the Executive Director of the SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF

I N D E X

PAGE
OPENING MATTERS
PRESENTATION
CHARLIE B. DUCKWORTH [Acting President/CE0]7
RAHUL DEMBLA [Sr. Director/Financial and Resource Planning]10
B. SHAWAN GILLIANS [Director, Legal Services / Corporate Secretary] 21
Question(s)/Comment by Commissioner C. Williams
Question(s)/Comment by Commissioner Ervin
<u>CLOSING MATTERS</u>
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
Note: For identification of any additional referenced materials and/or links for same, please see correspondence to be filed by the Office of Regulatory Staff Designee
 Please note the following inclusions/attachments to the record: Santee Cooper's Presentation Slides (PDF), including links

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

PROCEEDINGS

VICE CHAIR BELSER: Good afternoon and welcome to the Public Service Commission of South Carolina. We're here today for an allowable ex parte presentation from Santee Cooper.

And I'll ask now if we could get a roll call of Commissioners present. Present in the hearing room we have Commissioner Carolee Williams, Commissioner Caston, Commissioner Powers, and myself, Vice Chair Belser; and joining us virtually we have Commissioner Ervin and Commissioner Thomas.

And I will recognize Mr. Stark, our Staff Counsel. If you will read the docket and introduce the proceeding.

MR. STARK: Thank you, Madam Vice Chair.

Madam Vice Chair and other Commissioners, we're here for the proceeding in Non-Docketed Item 2022-3-E, which is a request for an allowable ex parte briefing for South Carolina Public Service Authority, or Santee Cooper, regarding an overview of the company's 2020 comprehensive Integrated Resource Plan, plans for its Integrated Resource Plan update, and to present a proposed procedural schedule for its Competitive Procurement of Renewable Energy filing or petition.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Madam Vice Chairman, it currently is
Wednesday, February 16, 2022, at 2:35 p.m., as
noticed. Madam Vice Chair, I believe the
proceeding may continue.
VICE CHAIR BELSER: Thank you, Mr. Stark.
Pursuant to the terms of South Carolina Code
Annotated 58-3-260, which provides for the
allowable ex parte presentation, who's here for the

Office of Regulatory Staff?

MS. HAIR: Vice Chair Belser, members of the Commission, good afternoon. Nicole Hair, on behalf of the Office of Regulatory Staff.

VICE CHAIR BELSER: Thank you, Ms. Hair.

Before we get to introduce our guests, I do want to recognize Mr. Stark to go over some of our guidelines for today.

MR. STARK: Thank you, Madam Vice Chairman.

Good afternoon, Commissioners and all who are present here today, virtually or in person. as previously mentioned, is Wednesday, February 16, 2022, and we're here in the Commission's hearing room pursuant to a Notice of Request for an allowable ex parte presentation.

The request for this allowable ex parte briefing is outlined in Commission Order No. 2022-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

38, which is incident to the non-docketed matter 2022-3-E. The subject matter noticed concerns an allowable ex parte briefing from the South Carolina Public Service Authority - Santee Cooper regarding an overview of the company's 2022 comprehensive IRP and plans for its IRP update, and to present a proposed procedural schedule for its Competitive Procurement of Renewable Energy filing, or petition.

This, I would remind everyone, is a briefing and not a hearing. The briefing must be conducted in compliance to — in compliance with the provisions of South Carolina Code Annotated Section 58-3-260(C), and the requirements of that statute are, in part, that the allowable ex parte briefing be confined to the subject matter which has been noticed. I would therefore ask the presenters, Commissioners, and Staff to please refrain from discussing any matters not related to the specific topic.

The statute prohibits any presenter, Commissioner, or Commission Staff from requesting or giving any commitment, predetermination, or prediction regarding any action by any Commissioner as to an ultimate issue which is either likely to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

come before the Commission or is pending before the Commission.

I would also ask the Presenters, Staff, and Commissioners to refrain from referencing any report, article, statute, or document of any kind that are not included in today's presentation. A copy of any document which is referenced during the briefing must be provided to the Office of Regulatory Staff for inclusion into the record, which will be certified to the Chief Clerk of the Commission, Ms. Jocelyn Boyd.

If anyone, during the course of this briefing, exceeds the scope or does not comply with or fails to conduct themselves within the provision of South Carolina Code Section 58-3-260, concerning allowable ex parte briefings, it is expected that a contemporaneous objection will be made.

And, finally, everyone in attendance today, in person or virtually, must sign in or register. Everyone in the hearing room or watching virtually must read, sign, and return the form which you were given at the door or the form which will be e-mailed to you for your virtual appearance, which will include instructions and the deadline for its It is required by law for each attendee,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Thank you all for your time and attention. Thank you, Madam Vice Chair.

VICE CHAIR BELSER: Thank you, Mr. Stark.

Good afternoon, Mr. Duckworth. We appreciate you and your team being here today. On a personal note, I would like to thank y'all for working with I know that y'all had indicated that our schedule. another day this week might have been a little preferable, but this is one of the few weeks where we Commissioners have the opportunity to attend the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners' meetings, and those are very educational for us, so we certainly appreciate y'all working with our schedule to accommodate us on this briefing today.

And with that, Mr. Duckworth, I'll recognize you and let you kick it off, introduce your team. However y'all would like to proceed.

MR. CHARLIE DUCKWORTH [SANTEE COOPER]: afternoon, Commissioners. We do appreciate the opportunity to be here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

My colleagues and I are grateful for the opportunity to be here today and provide you an overview of the 2020 — of our 2020 comprehensive Integrated Resource Plan and to share our plans for an Integrated Resource Plan update, fulfilling our obligations under Act 90 of 2021. We look forward to the IRP process and, in particular, to the stakeholder engagement component, because we know it will produce a stronger resource plan. As you will hear shortly, we are planning our first public stakeholder meeting for March 1st. Today we will also offer a proposed procedural schedule for a competitive procurement renewable energy process.

We look forward to your questions in both areas and commit to a prompt follow-up on any matters that arise from today's briefing.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Now I'd like to introduce my two colleagues, who will cover most of our material today. to my right, Rahul Dembla. Rahul currently leads the Resource and Financial Planning areas for Santee Cooper, and he has held several leadership positions since joining the utility in 2016. aggregate, he has 16 years of utility experience, including ten years as an investment banker serving public power utilities across the country. He led the team that developed our 2020 Integrated Resource Plan. He also played a critical role in our most recent competitive procurement of renewable power in 2020, which resulted in 425 megawatts of solar power acquired by Central and Santee Cooper. He will provide you an overview of information about our Integrated Resource Plan and planning process.

Also here today is Shawan Gillians. Shawan has been with Santee Cooper for ten years and is our Director of Legal Services and our Corporate Secretary. She has 15 years' experience in transactional and finance matters, and was Santee Cooper's Treasurer prior to taking her current position. Shawan also was a part of the team last year that assisted in providing input to the

1	legislative officials as they drafted Act 90. She
2	will discuss legal provisions related to the RFP
3	process with Act 90.
4	With that, I'll turn things over to Rahul
5	Dembla. And, again, thank you for this
6	opportunity.
7	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Thank you, Mr. Duckworth —
8	[indicating]. Thank you, Mr. Duckworth.
9	Mr. Dembla, if you could turn your mic on, and
LO	please speak into it so that we can hear you and
L1	anybody on the Internet, as well. Thank you.
L2	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Thank you.
L3	Do I need to have the slide deck on, or
L 4	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Are you ready for it?
L5	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Okay.
L 6	VICE CHAIR BELSER: There it is.
L7	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Thank you.
L8	Thank you, very much.
L 9	[Reference: Presentation Slides 1-3]
20	Good afternoon, and thank you for this
21	opportunity. This section of the presentation
22	provides an overview of Santee Cooper's 2020
23	Integrated Resource Plan.
24	[Reference: Presentation Slide 4]
25	In accordance with the Act 62 of 2019, Santee

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

Cooper submitted its triennial Integrated Resource Plan to the State Energy Office on December 23, 2020. The 2020 IRP addressed the requirements of Act 62, same as the requirements placed on the investor-owned utilities in South Carolina. However, it did not require the Commission's approval.

A copy of the 2020 IRP is available to all, on the websites of Santee Cooper and the State Energy The links are included in the footnotes on this slide.

[Reference: Presentation Slide 5]

Santee Cooper developed its 2020 IRP to comply with the requirements of Act 62 and address the following topics: Our long-term load forecast and reasonable scenarios; information related to proposed generating resources, traditional and renewable; summary of planned transmission investments. The plan analyzed resource portfolios of demand- and supply-side alternatives, and retirement of existing coal resources. portfolios were evaluated for cost and reliability under a realistic set of base-case assumptions and by analyzing sensitivities to certain key assumptions, such as fuel cost, load, and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

environmental regulation in the form of a tax on CO2 emissions. The IRP also included information on our existing resources.

[Reference: Presentation Slide 6]

Santee Cooper's resource planning principles:

Santee Cooper is committed to pursuing a power supply roadmap that will achieve a more diversified portfolio of resources with reduced environmental impact, while keeping our customer prices stable.

To achieve these goals and guide our resource planning, we formally adopted key principles listed on this slide. These eight core planning principles provide us important metrics that we seek to balance as we evaluate and compare multiple resource portfolios.

These planning principles include:
reliability, the most critical product of any
electric utility; a customer focus; cost
management, which translates to competitive prices
to our customers; responsibly managing
environmental impact of our operations and resource
decisions. Taking a long-term view; by recognizing
that the future is inherently uncertain, we seek to
create a resource roadmap that is diverse and
flexible. Reduced financial and planning risk, by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

taking a measured and phased approach and closely matching resources to need; embracing innovation by identifying evolving technologies, such as storage, and incorporating them in our plans when reasonable and cost-effective; and, finally, transparency with all who have a stake in our resource plans.

Santee Cooper believes that adherence to these principles will ensure a robust and balanced resource roadmap which will achieve our goals under a wide range of future conditions.

[Reference: Presentation Slide 7]

2020 IRP process: We utilized generally accepted utility practices to prepare our 2020 IRP. As discussed on the prior slide, we adopted planning principles to guide us. We utilized realistic projections of economic and market conditions and industry-based assumptions for future resource alternatives, including traditional resources as well as solar and storage.

For existing resources, we relied on historical operating characteristics and developed potential costs that could be avoided by retiring our coal portfolio.

The box on the right side of the illustration titled "Portfolio Optimization" represents the

2.4

analytical and modeling phase of the IRP process.
The IRP development included the use of load
forecasts, developed using industry-standard
techniques; integration of cost-effective demand-
side management programs; transmission analysis;
screening of potential resource sites; and,
finally, optimization of resource expansion plans,
which included coal retirements. This process
resulted in our preferred resource plan summarized
on the next slide.
[Reference: Presentation Slide 8]

Our 2020 IRP confirmed our strategic resource direction or roadmap, which included following key elements.

Retire coal: a phased retirement of all four Winyah units by 2027. Increase solar: phased implementation of solar, beginning with 500 megawatts of solar by 2023 through an RFP process, an RFP process which was ongoing at the time of development of our 2020 IRP; an additional 500 megawatts by 2026, and growing to 1500 megawatts, in aggregate, by the early 2030s.

Incorporating advanced technologies in the form of battery storage, in phases, to take advantage of technological advancements and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

expected cost decline. The plan included 200 megawatts in storage, starting in the mid-2020s.

Increase natural gas resources: modern, efficient, and flexible natural gas resources to replace retired coal capacity and enable integration of greater amounts of intermittent solar resource.

And, finally, expansion of demand-side programs.

[Reference: Presentation Slide 9]

This chart depicts the supply and demand balance achieved by the IRP's preferred resource It shows our winter peak demand, represented plan. by the solid blue line, and the resource type projected to meet that demand, represented by the stand bars. As shown, the plan provides for increased diversity of resource types and is designed to closely align future resource additions to load requirements. By doing so, we minimize Santee Cooper's future capital investments and incorporate flexibility in our resource roadmap.

I want to note that this graphic illustrates the capacity mix and does not capture the impact of 1500 megawatts of solar addition. Santee Cooper's winter peak generally occurs early on a winter

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

morning, when a solar resource is unlikely to contribute firm capacity. The impact of adding significant amounts of solar to our resource mix is captured on the next slide that shows much improved diversity in our energy mix.

[Reference: Presentation Slide 10]

These pie charts illustrate the changes in Santee Cooper's projected energy mix in the year 2033, resulting from the IRP 2020's preferred resource plan. The year 2033 is represented since it occurs following the implementation of major anticipated elements of the plan: the retirement of Winyah coal and addition of solar and new natural gas resources. It indicates a significant improvement in the diversity of energy resources used to meet Santee Cooper's retail and wholesale energy requirements.

As shown, this resource transformation, when compared to our pre-reform plan outlook, reduces the share of energy from coal significantly, from 52 percent to 19 percent, and quadruples the energy from sustainable resources, primarily from additional 1500 megawatts of solar. As a result of these resource changes, it was projected that the preferred resource plan would reduce CO₂ emissions

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

by over 50 percent compared to 2005 levels.

[Reference: Presentation Slide 11]

IRP 2020 recent actions: Our resource plan is a long-term strategic roadmap, but it also provides the framework for near-term resource actions. Since its filing, the key elements of the resource direction established in the IRP have continued to guide us.

Here, we have provided a status of three elements of the plan where we have made progress since the IRP's development: coal retirement, more solar, and planning for the late 2020s resource.

These actions are consistent with the IRP's strategic resource direction, with some differences to reflect the passage of time or, in the case of solar, the results of the competitive solicitation process that was ongoing during the development of IRP 2020.

Coal retirement: Santee Cooper's board approved the decision to retire all 1150 megawatts of Winyah coal units no later than December 2028, and we have idled one of those units as of the winter of 2020 and '21.

Regarding solar, Central and Santee Cooper, through a competitive RFP process, have acquired

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

425 megawatts of solar. These projects are expected to reach operations by late 2023 or early Santee Cooper will pay for the energy produced by these solar resources and will not own or finance these assets. [Indicating.] Excuse me.

In concluding this slide — I'm sorry. And, lastly, Central and Santee Cooper have conducted joint analysis related to the late 2020s resource need, and our diligence continues.

In concluding this slide and this section, I must emphasize that, while Santee Cooper is conducting necessary diligence, any commitments related to future resources, including the late 2020s natural gas resources, will be guided by Act 90 requirements and the 2020 IRP outcome, which would include stakeholder input and require the Commission's approval.

[Reference: Presentation Slides 12-13] I will now present our plans for integrated resource plan updates.

The Act 90 of 2021, among other things, revised the requirements concerning Santee Cooper's Integrated Resource Plan. The Act requires Santee Cooper submit its next triennial IRP to the Commission, subject to the Commission's review and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

approval. Once Santee Cooper's next triennial IRP is approved by the Commission, we will be required to submit annual updates to the approved IRP to the Commission.

In our recent letter to the Commission, Santee Cooper respectfully recommended that May 15, 2023, be set as the date of the filing of Santee Cooper's 2023 triennial IRP. The proposed schedule will place our filing roughly midway between Dominion's and Duke's anticipated 2023 filings and should allow the Office of the Regulatory Staff and the Commission to best utilize resources to conduct the Commission's proceedings.

The recommended filing date will afford Santee Cooper sufficient time for fulfillment of all IRP requirements, including a robust stakeholder process. I will provide an overview of the stakeholder process on the next slide.

Under our proposed schedule, our 2023 IRP would be filed approximately 29 months from our last triennial IRP submission in December 2020.

[Reference: Presentation Slide 14]

Beginning with our 2023 IRP, Santee Cooper will initiate a public stakeholder engagement process. The process will involve a series of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

meetings designed to seek input from all stakeholders. This feedback will inform the content of our 2023 IRP.

We anticipate at least five sessions, and the first of the sessions is already scheduled for March 1, less than two weeks from this day. The session will be virtual and interactive, and will be facilitated by consultants with experience and expertise in conducting similar stakeholder sessions. We will notify stakeholders and public approximately 30 days prior to each session. There will be a web portal to streamline communication and warehouse meeting materials.

We will target a diverse stakeholder group with representation from all that have a stake in the outcome of Santee Cooper's IRP, including retail and wholesale customers, electric cooperatives and Central, municipalities, industrials, and organizations representing environmental and economic justice. There will be opportunities for stakeholders to provide input regarding assumptions, scenarios, sensitivities, and resource portfolios to be evaluated by Santee Cooper.

Following the sessions, we will seek

1	stakeholders' feedback on the process itself and
2	make improvements to subsequent sessions. It is
3	our goal to be transparent with our diverse
4	stakeholder group, and we will utilize the
5	stakeholder web portal to share correspondence and
6	reports summarizing stakeholder feedback and
7	progress on the IRP.
8	[Reference: Presentation Slides 15-16]
9	Shawan, I can control it for you, if you want?
10	MS. SHAWAN GILLIANS [SANTEE COOPER]: Thank
1,1	you. Thank you, Rahul.
12	I think everyone can hear me. Good afternoon.
13	Again, my name is Shawan Gillians, and I have the
14	pleasure of serving as the Director of Legal
15	Services and Corporate Secretary for Santee Cooper.
16	And I want to begin by noting that —
17	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Do we need
18	the slide deck?
19	MS. SHAWAN GILLIANS [SANTEE COOPER]: I think
20	we're back up, Rahul. Thank you.
21	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: [Indicating.
22	MS. SHAWAN GILLIANS [SANTEE COOPER]: Thank you
23	I want to begin by noting that we appreciate
24	and look forward to the role the Commission will
25	play in Santee Cooper's future competitive

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

procurement process for renewable energy as that role is prescribed by Act 90 of 2021.

The place of renewable energy in our resource portfolio will be determined by our next triennial IRP, as my colleague Rahul stated, and, of course, the stakeholder engagement we'll utilize to develop that IRP. As you might expect, when we seek approval from the Commission for our program will be driven largely by two things: when we anticipate the need for future renewable resources and the amount of time we anticipate the Commission may need to consider our application. Based on those factors and what we know today, we currently expect that we would file that application no sooner than September 2022, so September of this year.

Next slide.

[Reference: Presentation Slide 17]

What I will not do is insult or bore you by reading this entire slide, but what I do want to do is to assure you that Santee Cooper has familiarized itself with the requirements of its Competitive Procurement Program, of what that program requirement must meet, and our process is being developed in such a way that will comply with

1	those requirements
2	[Reference: Presentation Slide 18]
3	In fact, if you consider the competitive
4	procurement process for solar power that we began
5	in 2019 and concluded in 2020, you'll find that
6	Santee Cooper was already largely in compliance
7	with the process promulgated by Act 90. And with
8	that recently completed process in mind, we're
9	confident in our ability to offer a fair process
10	for the Commission's consideration and to work
11	meaningfully with program process participants.
12	That concludes our formal presentation, but,
13	of course, we're happy to answer any questions the
14	Commission may have, as best we can.
15	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Thank you, presenters,
16	this afternoon.
17	Commissioners, any questions for Mr.
18	Duckworth, Mr. Dembla, or Ms. Gillians?
19	[No response]
20	Anyone? Commissioners?
21	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Vice Chair Belser.
22	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Commissioner Williams.
23	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
24	Thank you. I'll echo her appreciation for
25	your being here and what you presented to us today.

1.3

2.4

I noticed the reference, Mr. Dembla, to battery storage and was just curious if you could tell us more about what you're thinking was in terms of the amount of time that that storage might be available, you know, what the current standard is? As Chairman Belser just mentioned, we've just been to a virtual conference, and so we're hearing a lot about a ten-hour standard and then more, you know, what's more accessible right now, and I was just curious if there's a standard that you're working towards.

MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Yeah, that's a great question, Commissioner Williams.

I'll tell — sorry [indicating].

VICE CHAIR BELSER: It's on.

MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Okay, I'm good. Yeah, that's a really good question, and I'll tell you that what our thinking was in 2020, or when we developed this IRP — and it, you know, goes back to our planning principles. At the time, we looked at them and we said one of the principles is incorporating advanced technology. And then we went, worked with our consultants, and they guided us. They told us, based on, you know, industrial research, that there's expectation that the storage

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

technology will evolve and the cost curve will improve - the cost will decline.

COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.

MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: So, based on the best available information at the time, and to answer your question directly, we looked at, you know, two-hour-, four-hour-duration storages. The feedback we received at the time was the initial tranche of storage, two hours would be suitable, because the capital costs are lower. However, we noted in our IRP that this is an evolving technology. Santee Cooper needs to do a lot more work and analysis prior to our next IRP, and take that analysis to the next level.

So that's how we placed those battery storages as a placeholder module in our resource plan, knowing they have a place in our long-term plan, but we intend to refine that analysis.

COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: On the next page of your presentation - no, two more - I was curious, the lighter blue, economy purchases. Is that CPRE? Is that solar? So, I'm on the 2020 IRP energy and technology diversity -

	2
	田
	ÉPTI
	CCEPTED F
	2
	OR PR
	RO
	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$
	ES
	S
	(J
	i N
	202
	<u>~</u>
	e e
	2
	a
	2
	2
	,
	<u> </u>
	Ž
	- SCPSC
	Ç
	Š
	()
	Z
	<u> P-2</u>
	202
	Ņ
	γ E
	1
	Pa
(ge
	age 26
	으
	ც
	٠,

1	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: — and there's a pie
3	chart. And 20 percent in the 2020 IRP outlook?
4	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: That's
5	right. So that economy purchase is primarily gas-
6	based. And it's driven by the, you know — so, once
7	we have a resource portfolio in place, the
8	portfolio has the ability to fuel-switch. So,
9	based on our base-case assumptions, it tries to
LO	pick the best resource from our portfolio and the
L1	neighboring utilities, and whatever — it starts at
L2	the lowest cost and picks those. So this pie chart
L3	was generated based on those base-case fuel
L 4	assumptions for what the fuel mix would look like.
L5	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Okay. Well, then
L 6	that fits — leads really well to my next question,
L7	which, as I noticed the gray and then the dark
L8	blue, dark blue was, I think — and maybe I misread
L9	it — new gas?
20	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: That's
21	right.
22	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: And gray is
23	existing gas. Can you talk about those three
24	together for me?
25	MR RAHIII DEMRIA (SANTEE COOPER) · That's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

ziii, ziii opaato(o), rropoota oonoaaro ro ornz rriing
right. So, the dark blue, that represents the late
2020s resource that's in our resource plan. Now,
once in place, a modern natural gas combined-cycle
will be the most efficient resource in our
portfolio, so it would dispatch as a base-load $-$ it
would be the first to dispatch for the lowest
cost — lowest-cost dispatch. So that represents
the new resource.
And the other — the gray one represents our
Rainey gas units, which are in the northwest

And the other — the gray one represents our Rainey gas units, which are in the northwest portion of the State, which is also very reasonably efficient — not as efficient as this new resource that we are projecting, but still one of the more efficient resources in our portfolio. So that's the next to dispatch. And that's what — that competes with the coal units and the purchased power from the neighboring utilities and results in this mix.

COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: So is the — is it Rainey?

MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: That's Rainey, that's right, the gray one.

COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: When I look at the pre-reform, is that a part of the 29 percent?

MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: That's

1	right.
2	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Kind of looking at
3	how they —
4	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Yes.
5	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: — evolve and the
6	change?
7	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: That's
8	exactly right, yes.
9	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Okay. I think I
10	have one other question; it's probably for Ms.
11	Gillians. [Indicating.]
12	Can you — Ms. Gillians, can you add to
13	anything, elaborate any, on the CPRE program and
14	the impact to the interconnection queue?
15	MS. SHAWAN GILLIANS [SANTEE COOPER]: In terms
16	of the program — well, a requirement of the
17	program, those would have to be a qualified bidder.
18	You would have to have that interconnection queue
19	in line. Are you concerned or is your question
20	that the process would elongate that
21	interconnection process, or
22	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: [Indicating.]
23	MS. SHAWAN GILLIANS [SANTEE COOPER]: You
24	know, I don't know. Because we already have a
25	fairly robust interconnection program because of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

our participation in PURPA and as a result the 2020 competitive procurement process, I don't know that we would expect a great deal of increase — Rahul and Charlie? I don't know that we would see that draw on our resources for the procurement program or for the interconnection program, but I'd defer to Charlie and Rahul on that.

MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Yeah, I would just add to that that, in our — we reference we had a very good starting point from our prior procurement in 2019-2020. This was not a requirement at the time. So this would help the process, I think. I think it will bring more high-quality responses, is my initial reaction, and instead of us doing an evaluation after getting the responses and seeing who or what the interconnection status is, I think it would weed out some of the folks who may not be serious or have not invested the time. So I see benefits to it, but — and, you know, I think we'll be able to comply with that. Is that responsive, Commissioner Williams?

COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Absolutely. I didn't know. I was just curious.

MS. SHAWAN GILLIANS [SANTEE COOPER]: Absolutely

1	COMMISSIONER C. WILLIAMS: Thank you, very
2	much. No further questions.
3	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Thank you, Commissioner.
4	Any other Commissioners?
5	[No response]
6	Commissioners?
7	[No response]
8	Hearing none —
9	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Madam Vice Chair?
10	Madam Vice Chair?
11	VICE CHAIR BELSER: Commissioner Ervin?
12	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Thank you.
13	Let me thank the panel for your presentation
14	today and information you shared with us. We are
15	very grateful for your taking time out of your busy
16	schedule to accommodate our schedule.
17	I'd like to follow up just on one thing that
18	you talked about earlier. Part of your demand-side
19	plan calls for purchasing power from other
20	utilities outside the State, and I'm wondering what
21	percentage of your demand load will be or is
22	projected to be covered by out-of-state utilities,
23	going forward.
24	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Commissioner
25	Ervin, there are two aspects to it. We had a

2.4

discussion about a future resource in the IRP 2020.
In the IRP 2020, we had a planning principle that we
will keep our demand and supply in balance. And
what we did was we sized $550 - at$ the time, we sized
550 megawatts of new resource in late 2020s - 2027 -
and following that, as our demand kept growing, we
relied on purchases from neighboring utilities. And
now, based on the assumptions we had at the time, I
do not have the exact $-$ I know it's in the IRP 2020.
I even recall, I think, there's a table with annual
purchases. I think it grows to a few hundred
megawatts by the 2040s. That's my recollection.
And then the other piece of that is what we
were talking about, is the energy side of it. We

And then the other piece of that is what we were talking about, is the energy side of it. We will still rely on neighboring utilities to import energy whenever it saves money compared to our portfolio.

COMMISSIONER ERVIN^[A/V]: And so what you're saying is that that will be done in a competitive bid type process where you go with the least costly alternative? Is that what you're saying?

MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: I would say that's correct, Commissioner Ervin. The IRP did not address the procur- — it looked at the long-term resource need and how we would fulfill it, and

1	did not focus on the procurement side of it. But
2	I -
3	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Understand.
4	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: — anticipate
5	that would be the case.
6	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Right. And, so, could
7	we or should we expect, going forward, that maybe
8	you'll drill down deeper in regards to how that
9	might work, going forward?
10	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Per Act 90,
11	it's my understanding that, you know, if we procure
12	any contract which meets certain thresholds — a
13	ten-year contract, I believe — we would have to
14	come and seek approval from the Commission. So,
15	yes, I anticipate that would be the case, sir.
16	COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : Thank you.
17	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER ERVIN $^{[A/V]}$: The other $[EI]$ — the
19	other thing I wanted to ask you about, in your
20	competitive solicitation process: Has that now
21	been completed or is it still ongoing, for
22	renewable energy resources?
23	MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: The solar
24	procurement that we conducted in 2019 and 2020, it
25	was completed in early 2021. So that's complete.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

2.4

25

CE	
EPTED FOR PROC	
TED FOR PROCESSING - 2022 Februa	
Ċ Ç	
₹ PF	
200	
CESSI	
S	
<u>-</u>	
3 - 2022 Fe	
22 F	
-eb	
ruar	
ary 22 2:4	
2.	
41 F	
Š	
M - SCPSC - ND-2022-3-E	
SCPS	
Ö	
ND	
-20	
022-3-E	
3- Ε	
- P	
age	
္သ	
3 of 36	
ŏ	

COMMISSIONER ERVIN ^[A/V] : So, all th	nose - all
those new resources are already on the	grid,
they've been interconnected and in use	currently.
MD DAUII DEMDIA FRANTEE COODEDI.	No oin

MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: No, sir. The procurement was completed, but now, based on the arrangement we have with the providers, the construction will take place and these resources are expected to be commercial by late 2023 or early 2024

COMMISSIONER ERVIN[A/V]: Have the successful bidders on those solar projects incurred any delays due to what we're seeing with the international slow-down in various supply lines? Is that slowing the process, or do you know?

MR. RAHUL DEMBLA [SANTEE COOPER]: We have a process in place where we seek feedback from the bidders, you know, every couple of months. say, at this stage, a few of them have expressed challenges, but nobody has pushed out - pushed out the timeline. So they seem to be making progress. That remains a risk, given what's going on, not just macroeconomically, but they appear to be on track so far.

COMMISSIONER ERVIN[A/V]: Well, that's good news. That's good to hear. Well, thank you again for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

being available today, and we look forward to working with you, going forward.

Thank you, Madam Vice Chair.

VICE CHAIR BELSER: Thank you, Commissioner Ervin.

Any other Commissioners?

[No response]

Mr. Duckworth, Mr. Dembla, Ms. Gillians, again, I thank you for your presentations today, for accommodating our schedules, and for sharing your information with us. We certainly appreciate it. We look forward to working with your Santee Cooper team as we work through the challenges and implement Act 90. So, thank y'all for being here today and, with that, we will - well, before we close it, I do want to remind everybody in the room to please sign the certification form and turn it in before you leave today; and folks online, you will be receiving that certification form online to return to us, as well.

Anything else, Mr. Stark.

MR. STARK: No, Madam Chairman.

VICE CHAIR BELSER: Thank you.

Thank y'all very much, and we'll close this proceeding. Thank you.



<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I, Jo Elizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM-GNSC, Notary
Public in and for the State of South Carolina, do hereby
certify that the foregoing is, to the best of my skill and
ability, a true and correct transcript of all the proceedings
had regarding a requested allowable ex parte briefing in the
above-captioned matter before the PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF SOUTH CAROLINA;

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal, on this the $\underline{17^{th}}$ day of $\underline{\text{February}}$, 2022.

Jo Elizabeth M. Wheat, CVR-CM/M | GNSC Hearings Reporter - Public Service Commission of South Carolina

Notary Public in/for the State of South Carolina My Commission expires: <u>January 12, 2031</u>.